I only seek to dispell my own misgivings. Mainly, why, with all the resources at his disposal, and being a former prosecutor and Attorney General, did Earl Warren not produce a more air-tight case against Oswald such that the controversies raging now would never have had a chance of occurring? With seasoned experts in the art of deception and duplicity (Dulles, McCloy) to help him, would dat Warren, while under time pressures, still have been able to make the Commission's Report more foolproof? or de-bugged? I see parallels to how the government handled the Rosenbergs! Do you?

6. I was struck by the major role given by Stone to Edward P. Landale. With reference to my point #5, in the Rosenbergs' case a Colonel John Landale testified for the prosecution. Are the two of them related?

7. I crave how much you care about the truth emerging! Is there no hope of a real leak by one of the conspirators? Why not, when either dying or for 'fun' when bored with life?, or with old age and a loosening of mental faculties?

8. If I add up all your advice it seems sensible to stop probing!! Is that truly your bottom line? (like admitting how clever the assassins were?)

9. My last question is who could have been so clever as to masterminded an assassination and mis-direct the public (emphasis on mind, not power)

Please know my respect for you has grown

With hope for your good health,

Dr. R. Goldberg
40 Rue Du Chateau
45230 La Brosse
France